AI Cooling Technology Evaluation Guide: Strategic Framework for Sustainable Infrastructure Selection

Version 1.0 | January 2025

Executive Summary

As AI workloads drive unprecedented cooling demands with water consumption projected to exceed 1.2 trillion liters by 2030, selecting the right cooling technology becomes mission-critical for operational continuity and competitive advantage. This evaluation guide provides IT leaders with a comprehensive framework for assessing cooling solutions that can reduce water usage by 90% while delivering 2-5 year ROI.

Key Insights:

- **Technology readiness varies dramatically**: While immersion cooling achieves PUE of 1.02-1.03, implementation complexity differs by 10x across solutions
- **Hidden costs can double TCO**: Beyond equipment costs, consider infrastructure modifications, training, and transition risks
- **Vendor maturity spans decades**: From startups with breakthrough technology to established players with proven scale
- **Location determines 40% of technology choice**: Water availability, climate, and regulations fundamentally shape viable options
- **Integration complexity is the #1 failure point**: 67% of cooling retrofits exceed budget due to underestimated integration requirements

Table of Contents

Technology Landscape Overview

Current State of Cooling Technologies

The sustainable cooling technology landscape has reached an inflection point where proven solutions deliver sub-1.1 PUE while dramatically reducing or eliminating water consumption. However, technology selection requires careful evaluation of technical maturity, operational complexity, and organizational readiness.

Technology Maturity Spectrum:

```
Traditional Air → Optimized Air → Hybrid Air/Water → Direct-to-Chip → Single-Phase Immersion → Two-Phase Immersion
←──────────────────────── Lower Risk, Lower Efficiency
──────────────────────────────────────────── Higher Risk, Higher Efficiency
──────────────────────→
```

Critical Evaluation Factors

1. Technical Performance

- Cooling capacity (kW per rack)
- PUE achievement potential
- Water Usage Effectiveness (WUE)
- Temperature operating range
- Scalability limits

2. Operational Considerations

- Maintenance complexity
- Staff training requirements
- Retrofit feasibility
- Downtime during implementation
- Vendor support availability

3. Financial Implications

- Capital expenditure requirements
- Operating cost projections
- ROI timeline
- Hidden cost factors
- Green financing eligibility

4. Strategic Alignment

- Sustainability goals

- Growth projections
- Risk tolerance
- Regulatory compliance
- Competitive positioning

Detailed Technology Comparison Matrix

Comprehensive Technology Assessment

Technology	Traditional Air	Optimized Air	Hybrid	Direct-to-Chip	Single-Phase Immersion	Two-Phase Immersion
Performance Metrics						
PUE Range	1.5-2.0	1.3-1.5	1.2-1.4	1.1-1.2	1.02-1.06	1.01-1.03
WUE (L/kWh)	1.8-2.5	1.2-1.8	0.6-1.2	0.1-0.6	0-0.1	0
Rack Density	5-15 kW	10-25 kW	15-35 kW	30-80 kW	50-100 kW	80-140 kW
Heat Removal	100% air	100% air	70/30 split	60-80% liquid	100% liquid	100% liquid
Operational Factors						
Retrofit Complexity	Baseline	Low	Medium	High	Very High	Extreme
Maintenance Frequency	Weekly	Weekly	Bi-weekly	Monthly	Quarterly	Quarterly
Staff Training (hours)	8-16	16-24	40-80	80-160	160-320	200-400
Implementation Time	N/A	1-3 months	3-6 months	6-12 months	9-18 months	12-24 months
Financial Analysis						
CapEx (per MW)	Baseline	+10-20%	+30-50%	+60-100%	+100-150%	+120-180%
OpEx Reduction	Baseline	15-25%	30-40%	40-60%	70-85%	75-90%
Payback Period	N/A	3-5 years	4-6 years	3-5 years	2-4 years	2-4 years
10-Year TCO	Baseline	-10%	-25%	-35%	-45%	-50%
Risk Profile						
Technology Risk	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High	Very High
Vendor Lock-in	Low	Low	Medium	High	High	Very High
Operational Risk	Low	Low	Medium	Medium	High	High
Transition Risk	N/A	Low	Medium	High	Very High	Extreme

Technology Deep Dives

Direct-to-Chip Liquid Cooling

How It Works: Coolant flows through cold plates attached directly to high-heat components (CPUs, GPUs), removing 60-80% of server heat while remaining components use traditional air cooling.

Best For:

- High-performance computing clusters
- Gradual transition from air cooling
- Mixed workload environments
- Facilities with space constraints

Key Vendors:

- CoolIT Systems (5M+ CPUs deployed)
- Asetek (80kW rack density capability)
- Motivair (ChilledDoor® technology)
- HPE (86% cost reduction demonstrated)

Implementation Considerations:

- Requires manifold installation in racks
- CDU (Coolant Distribution Unit) placement critical
- Potential for leaks requires monitoring
- Some components still need air cooling

Real-World Performance:

- Los Alamos National Lab: 70% energy reduction
- NVIDIA DGX systems: 80kW per rack achieved
- Average PUE improvement: 1.6 → 1.15

Single-Phase Immersion Cooling

How It Works: Servers submerge in dielectric fluid that remains liquid throughout operation, with heat removed via heat exchangers.

Best For:

- Greenfield deployments
- Maximum density requirements
- Zero-water mandates
- Edge deployments

Key Vendors:

- GRC (ICEraQ Series 10: 368kW capacity)
- Submer (SmartPod: 1.03 PUE)
- LiquidStack (DataTank: 140kW/rack)
- Asperitas (natural convection design)

Implementation Considerations:

- Complete infrastructure redesign required
- Specialized server modifications needed
- Fluid maintenance and monitoring critical
- Staff requires extensive training

Real-World Performance:

- Microsoft: 1.07 PUE achieved
- University of Texas: 40% carbon reduction
- BitFury: 95% cooling cost reduction

Two-Phase Immersion Cooling

How It Works: Servers immerse in fluid that boils at low temperature (30-60°C), with vapor condensing and returning as liquid.

Best For:

- Ultimate efficiency requirements
- Research facilities
- Cryptocurrency mining
- Extreme density needs

Key Vendors:

- 3M (Novec fluids)
- LiquidStack (2-phase solutions)
- TMGcore (OTTO platform)
- Wiwynn (OCP contributions)

Implementation Considerations:

- Highest complexity and cost
- Limited vendor ecosystem
- Fluid costs significant ($200-500/L)

- Regulatory considerations for fluorinated fluids

Real-World Performance:

- Intel demos: 1.02 PUE achieved
- 1MW in 10 rack footprint possible
- 95% infrastructure footprint reduction

Emerging Technologies

Waterless Evaporative Cooling

- Uses alternative fluids for evaporation
- 50-70% efficiency improvement
- Commercial availability: 2026-2027

AI-Optimized Hybrid Systems

- ML-driven cooling optimization
- 30-40% additional efficiency gains
- Dynamic workload-based cooling

Direct Chip Refrigeration

- Microscale refrigeration at chip level
- Potential for sub-1.01 PUE
- Currently in research phase

Vendor Evaluation Framework

Comprehensive Vendor Scorecard

Evaluation Categories & Weights:

1. **Technical Capability (35%)**
   - Proven PUE/WUE achievements
   - Technology maturity level
   - Performance guarantees
   - Scalability demonstration
   - Innovation pipeline
2. **Financial Stability (20%)**
   - Years in operation
   - Revenue trends

- Customer base size
- Funding/backing
- Insurance coverage

3. **Support Ecosystem (20%)**
   - Global presence
   - 24/7 support availability
   - Training programs
   - Parts availability
   - SLA commitments
4. **Implementation Track Record (15%)**
   - Deployment count
   - Customer testimonials
   - Case study depth
   - Failure rate
   - Reference availability
5. **Sustainability Credentials (10%)**
   - Environmental certifications
   - Supply chain sustainability
   - End-of-life programs
   - Carbon footprint
   - Corporate commitments

Vendor Evaluation Matrix Template

```
┌─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│              VENDOR EVALUATION SCORECARD                  │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ Vendor Name: ________________   Date: ________________     │
│ Technology: _________________   Evaluator: _____________   │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ SCORING: 1-10 scale (10 = best)                            │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ Technical Capability (35%)              Score  Weight│
│ ├── Proven PUE achievements                ___    7%  │
│ ├── WUE performance                        ___    7%  │
│ ├── Technology maturity                    ___    7%  │
│ ├── Scalability proof                      ___    7%  │
│ └── Innovation roadmap                     ___    7%  │
│                          Subtotal: ___/35   │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ Financial Stability (20%)                    │
│ ├── Years in business                      ___    4%  │
│ ├── Revenue stability                      ___    4%  │
│ ├── Customer base                          ___    4%  │
│ ├── Funding strength                       ___    4%  │
│ └── Insurance/guarantees                   ___    4%  │
│                          Subtotal: ___/20   │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ Support Ecosystem (20%)                      │
│ ├── Geographic coverage                    ___    4%  │
│ ├── Support availability                   ___    4%  │
│ ├── Training quality                       ___    4%  │
│ ├── Parts/supplies                         ___    4%  │
│ └── SLA strength                           ___    4%  │
│                          Subtotal: ___/20   │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ Track Record (15%)                           │
│ ├── Deployment count                       ___    3%  │
│ ├── Reference quality                      ___    3%  │
│ ├── Case studies                           ___    3%  │
│ ├── Success rate                           ___    3%  │
│ └── Problem resolution                     ___    3%  │
│                          Subtotal: ___/15   │
├─────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
│ Sustainability (10%)                         │
│ ├── Certifications                         ___    2%  │
│ ├── Supply chain                           ___    2%  │
│ ├── Circular economy                       ___    2%  │
│ ├── Carbon footprint                       ___    2%  │
│ └── Future commitments                     ___    2%  │
```

```
|                              Subtotal: ___/10   |
 ├──────────────────────────────────────────────────
| TOTAL SCORE:                          ___/100   |
 ├──────────────────────────────────────────────────
| RECOMMENDATION:                                  |
| ☐ Highly Recommended (85-100)                    |
| ☐ Recommended (70-84)                            |
| ☐ Conditional (60-69)                            |
| ☐ Not Recommended (<60)                          |
 └──────────────────────────────────────────────────
```

Red Flags in Vendor Evaluation

Technical Red Flags:

- No verifiable deployment references
- Unwillingness to guarantee performance
- Proprietary fluids with single source
- No redundancy in critical components
- Unproven scaling beyond pilot phase

Business Red Flags:

- Less than 3 years in operation
- Heavy reliance on single customer
- Frequent leadership changes
- No local support presence
- Unclear warranty terms

Implementation Red Flags:

- No certified installation partners
- Lack of training programs
- Extended lead times (>6 months)
- No migration planning support
- Hidden professional services costs

RFP Template & Requirements

Comprehensive RFP Structure

Section 1: Executive Summary

- Project scope and objectives
- Timeline and milestones
- Budget parameters
- Evaluation criteria
- Decision timeline

Section 2: Current State Assessment

```
Current Infrastructure:
- Facility size: ___ MW IT load
- Current PUE: ___
- Current WUE: ___ L/kWh
- Annual water consumption: ___ gallons
- Cooling technology: _______________
- Age of infrastructure: ___ years
- Growth projections: ___% over 3 years
```

Section 3: Technical Requirements

```
MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS:
☐ Achieve PUE ≤ 1.2 within 12 months
☐ Reduce water consumption by ≥75%
☐ Support rack densities up to ___ kW
☐ Maintain inlet temperatures ___ to ___°C
☐ Scale to ___ MW without major redesign
☐ Integrate with existing BMS/DCIM
☐ Provide N+1 redundancy
☐ Meet local regulatory requirements

PREFERRED CAPABILITIES:
☐ Zero-water operation capability
☐ Heat recovery/reuse options
☐ AI-based optimization
☐ Remote monitoring capability
☐ Modular expansion design
☐ Multi-vendor equipment support
```

Section 4: Vendor Qualifications

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS:
☐ 5+ years in data center cooling
☐ 10+ production deployments
☐ $10M+ in annual revenue
☐ 24/7 support capability
☐ ISO 9001/14001 certification
☐ Comprehensive insurance coverage
☐ Local presence within 200 miles

REQUIRED SUBMISSIONS:
☐ 3 reference deployments >5MW
☐ Performance data from references
☐ Financial statements (3 years)
☐ Insurance certificates
☐ Training program details
☐ Sample SLA agreement
☐ Implementation methodology

Section 5: Proposal Requirements

Technical Proposal Must Include:

1. Detailed system architecture
2. Performance projections with guarantees
3. Integration approach with existing systems
4. Phased implementation plan
5. Risk mitigation strategies
6. Commissioning procedures
7. Operational procedures
8. Training curriculum

Commercial Proposal Must Include:

1. Detailed cost breakdown
    - Equipment costs
    - Installation costs
    - Professional services
    - Training costs
    - Maintenance costs (5 years)
    - Warranty terms
2. Payment terms and schedules

3. Performance guarantees and penalties
4. Total Cost of Ownership model
5. Financing options available

Section 6: Evaluation Process

```
EVALUATION TIMELINE:
- RFP Release: [Date]
- Questions Due: [Date + 2 weeks]
- Proposals Due: [Date + 6 weeks]
- Vendor Presentations: [Date + 8 weeks]
- Site Visits: [Date + 10 weeks]
- Selection: [Date + 12 weeks]
- Contract Negotiation: [Date + 16 weeks]

EVALUATION CRITERIA:
- Technical Solution (40%)
  - Performance metrics (15%)
  - Implementation approach (10%)
  - Integration capability (10%)
  - Innovation/future-proofing (5%)

- Commercial Terms (30%)
  - Total Cost of Ownership (15%)
  - Payment terms (5%)
  - Guarantees/warranties (5%)
  - Value engineering (5%)

- Vendor Qualifications (20%)
  - Track record (10%)
  - Support capability (5%)
  - Financial stability (5%)

- Sustainability (10%)
  - Water reduction (5%)
  - Energy efficiency (3%)
  - Circular economy (2%)
```

Critical RFP Questions

Performance Validation:

1. Provide measured PUE/WUE data from 3 similar deployments
2. What performance guarantees do you offer and what are the penalties?
3. How do you handle performance degradation over time?

4. What monitoring/reporting capabilities are included?

Implementation Reality:

1. Describe your most challenging deployment and lessons learned
2. What is typically discovered during implementation that wasn't planned?
3. How do you minimize downtime during cutover?
4. What is your average schedule/budget overrun percentage?

Operational Sustainability:

1. What are the top 3 maintenance issues your customers face?
2. How quickly can critical spare parts be obtained?
3. What happens if your company exits the market?
4. Describe your remote diagnostic capabilities

Hidden Costs:

1. What costs are typically not included in initial proposals?
2. What facility modifications are usually required?
3. What are annual fluid replacement costs (if applicable)?
4. What professional services are mandatory vs optional?

Implementation Best Practices

Pre-Implementation Phase

1. Comprehensive Baseline Assessment

```
Essential Baseline Metrics:
☐ Current PUE (seasonal variations)
☐ Current WUE (peak and average)
☐ Power quality measurements
☐ Temperature/humidity mapping
☐ Structural load assessments
☐ Electrical capacity analysis
☐ Water quality testing
☐ Space availability audit
```

2. Stakeholder Alignment

- **Executive Sponsors:** Weekly briefings on progress and risks
- **Operations Team:** Involve from day 1 in technology selection

- **Facilities:** Joint planning for infrastructure modifications
- **Finance:** Monthly TCO updates and budget tracking
- **Compliance:** Regulatory requirement validation

3. Risk Mitigation Planning

Risk Category	Mitigation Strategy	Contingency Plan
Technical Failure	Phased deployment with rollback capability	Maintain parallel systems for 90 days
Schedule Delay	Built-in buffer (20% minimum)	Pre-negotiated expedite options
Cost Overrun	15% contingency fund	Value engineering workshops
Performance Miss	Contractual guarantees with penalties	Alternative vendor on standby
Integration Issues	Proof of concept before full deployment	Professional services augmentation

Implementation Phase

1. Phased Deployment Strategy

```
Phase 1: Pilot (10% of infrastructure)
├── Select lowest-risk area
├── Complete installation
├── 30-day burn-in period
├── Performance validation
└── Lessons learned documentation

Phase 2: Limited Production (25%)
├── Apply pilot learnings
├── Include diverse workloads
├── Refine procedures
├── Train operations team
└── Validate at scale

Phase 3: Broad Deployment (50%)
├── Accelerate rollout
├── Establish steady state
├── Optimize performance
├── Document variations
└── Update procedures

Phase 4: Full Implementation (100%)
├── Complete remaining systems
├── Decommission old equipment
├── Final optimization
├── Transition to operations
└── Close project
```

2. Change Management Excellence

Communication Plan:

- Daily standups during active implementation
- Weekly stakeholder updates
- Monthly executive briefings
- Real-time dashboard for metrics
- Dedicated Slack channel for issues

Training Program:

- Basic awareness (all staff): 4 hours
- Operational training (operators): 40 hours
- Advanced troubleshooting: 80 hours
- Vendor certification: 120 hours
- Ongoing monthly refreshers

3. Quality Assurance Checkpoints

```
Pre-Installation:
☐ Structural modifications complete
☐ Electrical upgrades certified
☐ Plumbing/piping tested
☐ BMS integration verified
☐ Safety systems operational

During Installation:
☐ Daily safety briefings
☐ Work permit compliance
☐ Progress photo documentation
☐ Issue log maintenance
☐ Change order tracking

Post-Installation:
☐ Commissioning checklist
☐ Performance testing
☐ Leak detection verification
☐ Redundancy validation
☐ Documentation complete
```

Post-Implementation Phase

1. Performance Optimization Period (90 days)

Week 1-4: Stabilization

- Monitor all metrics continuously
- Address any teething issues
- Fine-tune control parameters
- Document anomalies

Week 5-8: Optimization

- Implement efficiency improvements
- Test various operating modes
- Optimize based on workload
- Update operational procedures

Week 9-12: Validation

- Confirm performance targets met
- Complete formal acceptance testing
- Transition to steady-state operations
- Final project documentation

2. Operational Excellence

Key Performance Indicators:

```
Daily Monitoring:
- PUE (real-time and trending)
- WUE (consumption and efficiency)
- Temperature differentials
- Flow rates and pressures
- Power consumption by system

Weekly Analysis:
- Efficiency trends
- Anomaly patterns
- Maintenance needs
- Capacity utilization
- Cost per kW cooling

Monthly Reporting:
- Executive dashboard
- Sustainability metrics
- Financial performance
- Regulatory compliance
- Continuous improvement opportunities
```

3. Continuous Improvement Framework

```
Quarterly Business Reviews:
├── Performance vs. targets
├── Cost vs. budget
├── Lessons learned
├── Technology updates
├── Optimization opportunities
└── Future planning

Annual Strategic Assessment:
├── Technology refresh evaluation
├── Capacity planning update
├── Regulatory landscape changes
├── Competitive benchmarking
├── Investment prioritization
└── Roadmap refinement
```

Risk Assessment & Mitigation

Comprehensive Risk Matrix

Risk Category	Specific Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation Strategy	Residual Risk
Technical Risks					
Integration	BMS incompatibility	Medium	High	Pre-deployment testing, API validation	Low
Performance	Failure to meet PUE targets	Low	High	Contractual guarantees, pilot validation	Low
Reliability	Single point of failure	Medium	Critical	N+1 design, redundant systems	Low
Scalability	Cannot support growth	Low	Medium	Modular design, capacity planning	Low
Operational Risks					
Training	Inadequate staff skills	High	Medium	Comprehensive training, certification	Medium
Maintenance	Increased complexity	Medium	Medium	Vendor support contracts, documentation	Low
Transition	Downtime during cutover	Medium	High	Phased approach, parallel running	Low
Financial Risks					
Budget	Cost overruns	Medium	Medium	15% contingency, fixed-price contracts	Low
ROI	Longer payback than projected	Low	Medium	Conservative modeling, guarantees	Low
Vendor	Supplier failure	Low	High	Financial due diligence, escrow	Medium
Regulatory Risks					
Compliance	New water restrictions	Medium	High	Zero-water capable design	Low
Reporting	Unable to meet requirements	Low	Medium	Automated monitoring systems	Low
Environmental Risks					
Climate	Extreme weather events	Low	High	Resilient design, backup systems	Medium
Water	Scarcity/restrictions	High	Critical	Water-free technology selection	Low

Risk-Specific Mitigation Strategies

Technical Risk Mitigation:

1. **Proof of Concept Requirements**
   - Minimum 30-day pilot program
   - Include peak load testing
   - Validate all integration points
   - Document performance metrics
   - Identify scaling challenges
2. **Redundancy Planning**
   - No single points of failure
   - Automatic failover capability
   - Regular failover testing
   - Clear recovery procedures
   - Spare parts inventory

Operational Risk Mitigation:

1. **Knowledge Transfer Program**
   - Vendor-led training sessions
   - Hands-on experience requirements
   - Certification programs
   - Knowledge base development
   - Ongoing support contracts
2. **Transition Planning**
   - Detailed cutover procedures
   - Rollback capabilities
   - Parallel running periods
   - Off-hours implementation
   - Communication protocols

Financial Risk Mitigation:

1. **Contract Structures**
   - Performance-based payments
   - Penalty clauses for misses
   - Shared savings models

- Insurance requirements
- Escrow arrangements

2. **Cost Controls**
   - Weekly budget tracking
   - Change order procedures
   - Value engineering sessions
   - Competitive bidding
   - Total cost transparency

Decision Framework

Strategic Decision Tree

```
START: Cooling Technology Selection
|
├── Q1: Is water availability restricted?
|   ├── YES → Consider only zero-water technologies
|   |       └── Q2: Is density >50kW/rack required?
|   |           ├── YES → Immersion cooling recommended
|   |           └── NO → Direct-to-chip liquid viable
|   └── NO → Continue to Q3
|
├── Q3: What is your risk tolerance?
|   ├── LOW → Incremental improvements only
|   |       └── Optimized air or hybrid cooling
|   ├── MEDIUM → Proven liquid technologies
|   |         └── Direct-to-chip recommended
|   └── HIGH → Cutting-edge solutions viable
|           └── Consider immersion cooling
|
├── Q4: What is implementation timeframe?
|   ├── <6 months → Limited to retrofit solutions
|   ├── 6-12 months → Most technologies viable
|   └── >12 months → All options available
|
├── Q5: What is primary driver?
|   ├── Cost reduction → Focus on OpEx savings
|   ├── Sustainability → Prioritize WUE
|   ├── Density → Liquid cooling required
|   └── Compliance → Meet specific regulations
|
└── DECISION: Technology recommendation based on answers
```

Weighted Decision Matrix

```
DECISION SCORING FRAMEWORK
────────────────────────────────────────
Factor            Weight  Importance for Your Organization
────────────────────────────────────────
Water Conservation    ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Energy Efficiency     ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Capital Cost          ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Operating Cost        ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Implementation Speed  ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Reliability           ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Scalability           ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Vendor Ecosystem      ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Staff Expertise       ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
Regulatory Compliance ___    Critical / High / Medium / Low
────────────────────────────────────────
Total Weight: 100%

Scoring Instructions:
1. Assign weights totaling 100% based on priorities
2. Score each technology option 1-10 per factor
3. Calculate weighted scores
4. Highest total indicates best fit
```

Go/No-Go Criteria

Minimum Viable Requirements:

- [ ] Achieves ≥50% water reduction
- [ ] Delivers positive ROI within 5 years
- [ ] Vendor has 3+ successful deployments
- [ ] Technology proven at required scale
- [ ] Compatible with existing infrastructure
- [ ] Meets all regulatory requirements
- [ ] Acceptable risk profile
- [ ] Budget approved and available

Excellence Criteria:

- [ ] Achieves ≥80% water reduction
- [ ] Delivers 3-year or better ROI
- [ ] Industry-leading vendor selected
- [ ] Future expansion capability
- [ ] Enhances competitive position

☐ Exceeds regulatory requirements
☐ Minimal operational disruption
☐ Strategic alignment confirmed

Appendices

Appendix A: Technology Specifications Checklist

```
DETAILED REQUIREMENTS CHECKLIST
================================

Cooling Capacity Requirements:
☐ Current IT load: ___ MW
☐ Projected growth: ___% over ___ years
☐ Peak load conditions: ___ MW
☐ Redundancy requirements: N+___
☐ Concurrent maintainability: Yes/No

Environmental Parameters:
☐ Inlet temperature range: ___°C to ___°C
☐ Humidity requirements: ___% to ___%
☐ Altitude: ___ meters
☐ Ambient temperature range: ___°C to ___°C
☐ Water quality available: _____________

Physical Constraints:
☐ Available floor space: ___ sq meters
☐ Floor loading capacity: ___ kg/sq meter
☐ Ceiling height: ___ meters
☐ Access restrictions: _____________
☐ Seismic requirements: Zone ___

Utility Infrastructure:
☐ Electrical capacity: ___ MW available
☐ Voltage: ___ V, ___ phase
☐ Water supply: ___ gallons/minute
☐ Sewer capacity: ___ gallons/minute
☐ Natural gas: Available/Not available

Integration Requirements:
☐ BMS protocol: _____________
☐ DCIM system: _____________
☐ Monitoring requirements: _____________
☐ Alarm integration: _____________
☐ Remote access needs: _____________
```

Appendix B: Vendor Contact Template

```
VENDOR INFORMATION REQUEST
==========================

Company Information:
- Legal company name: _________________
- Years in business: ___
- Headquarters location: _________________
- Local office: _________________
- Annual revenue: $___
- Number of employees: ___
- Public/Private: ___

Data Center Experience:
- Years in DC cooling: ___
- Number of DC deployments: ___
- Largest deployment: ___ MW
- Total MW under management: ___
- Geographic coverage: _________________

Technology Details:
- Primary technology type: _________________
- PUE achievements: ___
- WUE achievements: ___ L/kWh
- Rack density support: ___ kW
- Proprietary components: Yes/No
- Open standards support: _________________

References:
1. Company: __________ Size: ___ MW Year: ___
2. Company: __________ Size: ___ MW Year: ___
3. Company: __________ Size: ___ MW Year: ___

Support Capabilities:
- 24/7 support: Yes/No
- Response time SLA: ___ hours
- On-site support locations: _________________
- Remote monitoring: Yes/No
- Predictive maintenance: Yes/No

Sustainability:
- ISO 14001 certified: Yes/No
- Carbon neutral target: Year ___
- Recycling programs: _________________
- Green certifications: _________________
```

Appendix C: TCO Calculation Template

```
10-YEAR TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP MODEL
=====================================

CAPITAL EXPENSES (Year 0):
Equipment costs:         $__________
Installation costs:      $__________
Infrastructure mods:     $__________
Professional services:   $__________
Training costs:          $__________
Commissioning:           $__________
Contingency (15%):       $__________
────────────────────────────────────
Total CapEx:             $__________

ANNUAL OPERATING EXPENSES:
────────────────────────────────────
Year   Energy   Water   Maint.   Total
────────────────────────────────────
1      $____    $____   $____    $____
2      $____    $____   $____    $____
3      $____    $____   $____    $____
4      $____    $____   $____    $____
5      $____    $____   $____    $____
6      $____    $____   $____    $____
7      $____    $____   $____    $____
8      $____    $____   $____    $____
9      $____    $____   $____    $____
10     $____    $____   $____    $____
────────────────────────────────────
Total OpEx:                $____

TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP:         $____

Compared to Baseline:
Savings:                   $____
ROI:                       ____%
Payback Period:            ___ years
```

Appendix D: Regulatory Compliance Checklist

```
REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS TRACKER
================================

Federal Requirements:
☐ EPA water discharge permits
☐ Clean Water Act compliance
☐ Energy reporting requirements
☐ Environmental impact assessments

State Requirements:
☐ Water usage reporting
☐ Efficiency standards
☐ Building codes
☐ Environmental permits
☐ Tax incentive qualification

Local Requirements:
☐ Water allocation permits
☐ Sewer discharge permits
☐ Building permits
☐ Zoning compliance
☐ Noise ordinances

Industry Standards:
☐ ASHRAE TC 9.9 guidelines
☐ Uptime Institute standards
☐ ISO 14001 compliance
☐ LEED certification requirements
☐ Energy Star compliance

Reporting Obligations:
☐ EU EED compliance (if applicable)
☐ ESG reporting requirements
☐ CDP water disclosure
☐ TCFD climate reporting
☐ Customer sustainability reports
```

Conclusion

Selecting the right cooling technology for AI infrastructure requires balancing multiple complex factors: technical performance, financial returns, operational complexity, and strategic alignment. This evaluation guide provides the frameworks and tools necessary to make informed decisions that will position your organization for success in an increasingly water-constrained future.

Remember: The best technology choice isn't always the most advanced—it's the one that aligns with your specific requirements, constraints, and strategic objectives while delivering measurable improvements in sustainability and operational efficiency.

Next Steps:

1. Complete the baseline assessment of your current infrastructure
2. Use the decision framework to narrow technology options
3. Issue RFP using the provided template
4. Apply the vendor evaluation scorecard
5. Conduct pilot programs before full deployment
6. Measure, optimize, and continuously improve

The path to sustainable AI infrastructure starts with informed technology selection. Use this guide to navigate the complexity and emerge with a solution that serves both your business needs and environmental responsibilities.

Document Version: 1.0
Last Updated: January 2025
Next Review: July 2025

For Updates and Additional Resources:
Visit: www.agentmodeai.com/resources
Email: cooling-tech@agentmodeai.com