

# Request for Proposal (RFP)

## Agentic AI Platform & Solutions

**Document Version:** 2.0

**Issue Date:** [Date]

**Response Deadline:** [Date]

**Meta Description:** Comprehensive RFP template for evaluating Agentic AI vendors with 200+ criteria, scoring methodology, implementation roadmap, and contract guidelines for enterprise autonomous AI solutions.

---

## Table of Contents

- [1. Executive Summary](#)
  - [2. Company Background](#)
  - [3. Project Overview](#)
  - [4. Scope of Work](#)
  - [5. Technical Requirements](#)
  - [6. Vendor Evaluation Criteria](#)
  - [7. Submission Requirements](#)
  - [8. Evaluation Process & Timeline](#)
  - [9. Contract Terms & Conditions](#)
  - [10. Appendices](#)
  - [11. FAQ - Frequently Asked Questions](#)
  - [12. Scoring Methodology & Framework](#)
- 

## 1. Executive Summary

 **For Business Leaders**

### 1.1 Purpose

This Request for Proposal (RFP) seeks qualified vendors to provide an enterprise-grade Agentic AI platform that enables autonomous, intelligent agents to perform complex tasks with minimal human intervention while maintaining robust governance and security.

### 1.2 Key Objectives

- Implement multi-agent orchestration capabilities
- Achieve 30%+ operational efficiency improvements
- Ensure enterprise-grade security and compliance
- Enable rapid scaling across business units
- Maintain full auditability and explainability

### 1.3 Budget Range & ROI Expectations

- Tier 1: < \$500K annual (Expected ROI: 3:1 within 18 months)
- Tier 2: \$500K - \$2M annual (Expected ROI: 4:1 within 24 months)
- Tier 3: > \$2M annual (Expected ROI: 5:1 within 36 months)

### Industry Benchmarks:

- Financial Services: Average 312% ROI in 2 years
- Healthcare: 28% operational cost reduction
- Manufacturing: 30% downtime reduction
- Retail: 45% customer service cost savings

### 1.4 Timeline

- RFP Issue Date: [Date]
  - Questions Due: [Date]
  - Proposal Due Date: [Date]
  - Vendor Presentations: [Date Range]
  - Selection Decision: [Date]
  - Contract Negotiation: [Date Range]
  - Implementation Start: [Date]
- 

## 2. Company Background

### For All Stakeholders

#### 2.1 Organization Overview

- **Company Name:** [Your Company]
- **Industry:** [Industry]
- **Annual Revenue:** [Revenue]
- **Employees:** [Number]
- **Locations:** [List]
- **Digital Maturity Level:** [1-5 scale]

- **ESG Commitments:** [Sustainability goals]

## 2.2 Current Technology Environment

- **Cloud Platforms:** [AWS/Azure/GCP/Hybrid]
- **Primary Systems:** [List key systems]
- **Data Volume:** [Scale metrics]
- **Integration Requirements:** [APIs, protocols]
- **Security Standards:** [Compliance requirements]
- **Edge Computing Infrastructure:** [Current state]
- **Quantum Computing Readiness:** [Assessment level]

## 2.3 Strategic Objectives

[Describe your organization's strategic goals for Agentic AI adoption]

## 2.4 Change Management Readiness

- **Previous AI Initiatives:** [Success/failure rate]
  - **Change Management Budget:** [Allocated amount]
  - **Training Infrastructure:** [Current capabilities]
  - **Cultural Assessment Score:** [If available]
- 

# 3. Project Overview

## 3.1 Vision Statement

[Describe the envisioned future state with Agentic AI]

## 3.2 Initial Use Cases (Prioritized)

**Example Use Case Template:**

**Use Case: Intelligent Customer Service Automation**

- **Current State:**
  - Manual ticket routing (15 min average)
  - 72-hour resolution time
  - 65% first-contact resolution
  - \$45 cost per ticket
- **Desired State:**
  - Autonomous ticket routing (<30 seconds)

- 24-hour resolution time
- 85% first-contact resolution
- \$12 cost per ticket
- **Success Metrics:**
  - Agent accuracy: >95%
  - Customer satisfaction: >4.5/5
  - Cost reduction: 70%
  - ROI timeline: 6 months

#### 1. [Your Use Case 1]

- Current State: [Description]
- Desired State: [Description]
- Success Metrics: [KPIs]
- Expected ROI: [Timeline and value]

#### 2. [Your Use Case 2]

- Current State: [Description]
- Desired State: [Description]
- Success Metrics: [KPIs]
- Expected ROI: [Timeline and value]

#### 3. [Your Use Case 3]

- Current State: [Description]
- Desired State: [Description]
- Success Metrics: [KPIs]
- Expected ROI: [Timeline and value]

### 3.3 Expected Outcomes

- **Efficiency Gains:** [Target %]
- **Cost Reduction:** [Target \$]
- **Quality Improvement:** [Metrics]
- **Time to Value:** [Timeline]
- **Intangible Benefits:**
  - Employee satisfaction improvement
  - Innovation capability enhancement
  - Competitive advantage strengthening

- Brand perception elevation
- 

## 4. Scope of Work

### 4.1 In Scope

- Platform licensing and deployment
- Initial use case implementation
- Integration with existing systems
- Training and knowledge transfer
- Ongoing support and maintenance
- Performance optimization
- Security configuration
- Change management support
- Edge deployment capabilities
- Sustainability optimization

### 4.2 Out of Scope

- Hardware procurement
- Network infrastructure upgrades
- Third-party system modifications
- Quantum computing hardware
- [Other exclusions]

### 4.3 Deliverables

#### 1. Phase 1: Foundation (Months 1-3)

- Platform deployment
- Security configuration
- Basic integrations
- Admin training
- Change readiness assessment

#### 2. Phase 2: Implementation (Months 4-6)

- Use case development
- Agent configuration
- Testing and validation
- User training
- Performance benchmarking

#### 3. Phase 3: Optimization (Months 7-12)

- Performance tuning
- Advanced features
- Scale deployment
- Knowledge transfer
- ROI measurement

#### 4. Phase 4: Innovation (Months 13-18)

- Edge deployment
  - Advanced orchestration
  - Continuous improvement
  - Innovation workshops
- 

## 5. Technical Requirements

### For Technical Teams

#### 5.1 Core Platform Capabilities (Must Have)

##### 5.1.1 Agent Architecture

- Support for multiple agent types (reactive, deliberative, hybrid)
- Autonomous decision-making capabilities with explainability
- Inter-agent communication protocols (FIPA-ACL compliant)
- Agent lifecycle management with version control
- Multi-agent orchestration and coordination
- Agent decision accuracy benchmarks (>95% for defined tasks)
- Support for Small Language Models (SLMs) for edge deployment

##### 5.1.2 AI/ML Standards Compliance

- IEEE P2975.2 compliance for AI model verification
- IEEE 2841-2022 for data quality framework
- IEEE 2894-2024 for explainable AI
- ISO/IEC 23053:2022 for ML terminology
- Reproducibility requirements documentation

##### 5.1.3 Performance Requirements

- **Response Time:** < 2 seconds for 95% of requests
- **Throughput:** [Transactions per second]
- **Concurrent Users:** [Number]
- **Availability:** 99.9% uptime SLA

- **Scalability:** Linear scaling to [X] agents
- **Agent Decision Time:** < 500ms for routine tasks
- **Edge Processing:** < 100ms latency for local decisions

## 5.2 Advanced Capabilities

### 5.2.1 Edge Computing Integration

- Edge agent deployment capabilities
- Offline operation mode
- Edge-cloud synchronization
- Resource-constrained environment support
- 5G network optimization

### 5.2.2 Quantum Computing Readiness

- Quantum-resistant encryption algorithms
- Hybrid classical-quantum algorithm support
- Quantum advantage assessment tools
- Migration path documentation

### 5.2.3 Sustainability Features

- Carbon footprint monitoring
- Energy optimization algorithms
- Green computing metrics
- Sustainable infrastructure options

## 5.3 Integration Requirements

### 5.3.1 System Integrations

- REST API support with OpenAPI 3.0
- GraphQL capabilities
- gRPC for high-performance needs
- Webhook management
- Event streaming (Kafka/Pulsar)
- Database connectors (specify types)
- Legacy system adapters

### 5.3.2 Data Sovereignty & Privacy

- Data residency controls by geography
- Privacy-preserving computation (federated learning)
- Homomorphic encryption support

- Differential privacy implementation
- GDPR Article 25 compliance (privacy by design)

## 5.4 Security Requirements

- SOC 2 Type II certification
  - ISO 27001 compliance
  - End-to-end encryption (E2EE)
  - Zero-trust architecture support
  - Quantum-resistant cryptography
  - Audit logging with immutability
  - Vulnerability management with CVE tracking
  - Incident response procedures (<4 hour RTO)
  - Security testing evidence (penetration tests)
- 

## 6. Vendor Evaluation Criteria

### Comprehensive Scoring Framework

#### 6.1 Enhanced Scoring Methodology

**Total Score = 1000 points distributed as follows:**

#### **Technical Capabilities (400 points - 40%)**

##### **1. Core Functionality (150 points)**

- Agent capabilities & accuracy (50)
- Orchestration features (50)
- AI/ML sophistication & standards (50)

##### **2. Integration & Compatibility (100 points)**

- API completeness (30)
- Pre-built connectors (30)
- Custom integration support (20)
- Edge computing readiness (20)

##### **3. Performance & Scalability (75 points)**

- Benchmark results (25)
- Scaling capabilities (25)
- Resource efficiency (25)

##### **4. Security & Compliance (75 points)**

- Security features & certifications (25)

- Compliance coverage (25)
- Audit capabilities (25)

## **Commercial Terms (250 points - 25%)**

### **1. Pricing Structure (100 points)**

- Total cost of ownership - 5 year (40)
- Pricing transparency (30)
- Flexibility/scalability (30)

### **2. Contract Terms (75 points)**

- SLA guarantees & penalties (25)
- Liability & indemnification (25)
- Exit clauses & data portability (25)

### **3. Financial Stability (75 points)**

- Company financials & runway (25)
- Customer base & retention (25)
- Market position & growth (25)

## **Support & Partnership (200 points - 20%)**

### **1. Implementation Support (75 points)**

- Professional services quality (25)
- Implementation methodology (25)
- Change management support (25)

### **2. Ongoing Support (75 points)**

- Support tiers/SLAs (25)
- Documentation quality (25)
- Community resources (25)

### **3. Training & Enablement (50 points)**

- Training programs (25)
- Certification options (25)

## **Strategic Fit (150 points - 15%)**

### **1. Vision Alignment (50 points)**

- Product roadmap (25)
- Innovation commitment (25)

## 2. Sustainability & Ethics (50 points)

- ESG practices (25)
- Ethical AI commitment (25)

## 3. References & Track Record (50 points)

- Customer references (25)
- Industry experience (25)

## 6.2 Detailed Evaluation Criteria

### 6.2.1 Agent Intelligence Assessment

#### Decision-Making Benchmarks

- Accuracy rate for defined tasks (target >95%)
- Explanation quality score (1-5 scale)
- Learning curve metrics
- Error recovery capabilities
- Uncertainty handling

#### Autonomous Capabilities Scale

1. **Level 1:** Rule-based with human oversight
2. **Level 2:** ML-enhanced with approval gates
3. **Level 3:** Autonomous within boundaries
4. **Level 4:** Self-improving with guardrails
5. **Level 5:** Fully autonomous operations

### 6.2.2 Sample Vendor Response Framework

**Example: Multi-Agent Orchestration Response** *"Our platform implements hierarchical agent orchestration using a master coordinator pattern. Agents communicate via FIPA-ACL protocols with message guarantees. Performance benchmarks show 50ms inter-agent latency at 10,000 msg/sec throughput. See Appendix C for architecture diagrams and customer case studies achieving 40% efficiency gains."*

---

## 7. Submission Requirements

### 7.1 Enhanced Proposal Structure

1. **Executive Summary** (2-3 pages)
  - Value proposition

- Differentiation factors
  - ROI summary
2. **Company Overview** (5-10 pages)
    - Financial stability evidence
    - Customer success stories
    - Innovation track record
  3. **Technical Solution** (20-30 pages)
    - Architecture with diagrams
    - Standards compliance matrix
    - Performance benchmarks
  4. **Implementation Approach** (10-15 pages)
    - Methodology details
    - Change management plan
    - Risk mitigation strategies
  5. **Pricing Proposal** (5-10 pages)
    - 5-year TCO analysis
    - ROI projections by use case
    - Flexible pricing options
  6. **References & Case Studies** (5-10 pages)
    - Similar implementations
    - Quantified outcomes
    - Lessons learned

## 7.2 Required Demonstrations

### Live Demo Scenarios

1. **Agent Creation:** Build and deploy an agent in real-time
2. **Orchestration:** Demonstrate multi-agent collaboration
3. **Integration:** Connect to sample enterprise system
4. **Monitoring:** Show real-time observability
5. **Failure Recovery:** Demonstrate resilience

### Proof of Concept Success Criteria

- Deploy pilot use case within 5 days
- Achieve 80% of target metrics

- Demonstrate integration capabilities
  - Prove scalability to production levels
  - Show security and compliance features
- 

## **8. Evaluation Process & Timeline**

### **8.1 Enhanced Evaluation Phases**

#### **Phase 1: Initial Review (1 week)**

- AI-powered proposal analysis
- Completeness check
- Minimum requirements validation
- Initial scoring

#### **Phase 2: Detailed Evaluation (2 weeks)**

- Technical deep dives
- Reference verification
- Financial analysis
- Security assessment

#### **Phase 3: Demonstrations (2 weeks)**

- Use case demonstrations
- Technical architecture review
- Q&A sessions
- Hands-on workshops

#### **Phase 4: Proof of Concept (4 weeks)**

- Environment setup
- Use case implementation
- Performance testing
- Change readiness assessment
- Results evaluation

#### **Phase 5: Final Selection (1 week)**

- Executive presentations
- Negotiation preparation
- Risk assessment

- Final decision
- 

## 9. Contract Terms & Conditions

### 9.1 Enhanced Commercial Terms

- **Contract Duration:** 3-5 years with annual renewals
- **Payment Terms:** Milestone-based or consumption-based options
- **Price Protection:** CPI + 3% annual cap
- **Volume Discounts:** Published tier structure
- **Innovation Credits:** 10% for emerging features
- **Sustainability Incentives:** Green computing discounts

### 9.2 Comprehensive SLAs

- **Availability SLA:** 99.9% with 99.99% option
- **Performance SLA:** Response time guarantees by tier
- **Accuracy SLA:** Agent decision accuracy thresholds
- **Support SLA:** 15-min critical, 4-hour standard
- **Security SLA:** Incident response commitments
- **Data Quality SLA:** Processing accuracy standards

### 9.3 Risk Management Terms

- **Liability:** Uncapped for data breaches, IP infringement
  - **Insurance:** Cyber liability minimum \$50M
  - **Escrow:** Source code and data provisions
  - **Force Majeure:** Pandemic and climate considerations
  - **Compliance:** Regulatory change provisions
- 

## 10. Appendices

### Appendix A: Technical Environment Details

[Detailed current state architecture]

### Appendix B: Use Case Specifications

[Detailed requirements for each use case]

### Appendix C: Security Questionnaire

[Comprehensive 200+ question security assessment]

## **Appendix D: Integration Inventory**

[List of systems requiring integration with data volumes]

## **Appendix E: Data Classifications**

[Data types, sensitivity levels, and sovereignty requirements]

## **Appendix F: Compliance Requirements**

[Specific regulatory requirements by jurisdiction]

## **Appendix G: Change Management Assessment**

[Organizational readiness evaluation framework]

## **Appendix H: Sustainability Requirements**

[Environmental impact criteria and green computing goals]

---

# **11. FAQ - Frequently Asked Questions**

## **General Questions**

**Q: What is Agentic AI and how does it differ from traditional automation?** A: Agentic AI refers to autonomous artificial intelligence systems that can make decisions and take actions independently within defined parameters. Unlike traditional rule-based automation, Agentic AI can learn, adapt, and handle complex scenarios without explicit programming for each situation.

**Q: What is the typical implementation timeline?** A: Most enterprises see initial value within 90 days, with full implementation of 3-5 use cases taking 12-18 months. The phased approach allows for quick wins while building toward transformation.

**Q: What are the main risks and how are they mitigated?** A: Primary risks include data quality issues (mitigated through assessment and cleanup), change resistance (addressed via comprehensive training), and integration complexity (managed through proven methodologies and pre-built connectors).

## **Technical Questions**

**Q: How do agents communicate with each other?** A: Agents use standardized protocols like FIPA-ACL for inter-agent communication, with message guarantees and conflict resolution mechanisms. Modern platforms also support event-driven architectures and pub-sub patterns.

**Q: Can agents operate in offline or edge environments?** A: Yes, modern Agentic AI platforms support edge deployment with offline capabilities. Agents can make decisions locally and synchronize

when connectivity is restored.

**Q: What about explainability and auditability?** A: Enterprise platforms must provide decision explanations, audit trails, and compliance reporting. Look for vendors supporting IEEE 2894-2024 explainable AI standards.

## Commercial Questions

**Q: What pricing models are typical?** A: Common models include per-agent licensing, transaction-based pricing, and platform subscriptions. Expect consumption-based options for cloud deployments and hybrid models for complex deployments.

**Q: How do we calculate ROI?** A: ROI calculation should include direct cost savings (labor, efficiency), revenue gains (faster processing, better decisions), and intangible benefits (employee satisfaction, innovation capability). Most enterprises see 3-5x ROI within 24 months.

**Q: What happens if we need to switch vendors?** A: Ensure contracts include data portability clauses, API documentation rights, and transition assistance. Look for vendors supporting open standards to minimize lock-in.

---

## 12. Scoring Methodology & Framework

### Evaluation Best Practices

#### Recommended Scoring Approach

##### Digital Scoring Framework Components:

- Real-time score calculation methodology
- Weighted criteria adjustment formulas
- Comparative analysis framework
- Excel-based tracking system
- Collaboration guidelines

##### Scoring Workbook Structure:

- Automated scoring formulas
- Vendor comparison matrix
- Sensitivity analysis approach
- TCO calculation framework
- ROI projection methodology

##### Evaluation Team Coordination:

- Document sharing protocols
- Scoring consensus methods
- Meeting scheduling approach
- Decision audit trail requirements
- Vendor Q&A management process

## Sample Scoring Calculation

### Example: Technical Capabilities Scoring

Agent Capabilities (50 points maximum)

- Autonomous decision-making: 15/15 ✓
- Multi-agent orchestration: 12/15
- Learning capabilities: 10/10 ✓
- Explainability: 8/10

Subtotal: 45/50 (90%)

Integration & Compatibility (100 points maximum)

- API completeness: 25/30
- Pre-built connectors: 28/30
- Custom integration: 18/20
- Edge readiness: 15/20

Subtotal: 86/100 (86%)

---

## Submission Instructions

### Electronic Submission

- **Email:** [\[procurement@company.com\]](mailto:procurement@company.com)
- **Subject Line:** "RFP Response - Agentic AI Platform - [Vendor Name]"
- **File Format:** PDF (searchable) + Excel for pricing
- **File Size Limit:** 25MB (use secure file transfer for larger files)

### Secure File Transfer

For files over 25MB or containing sensitive information:

- **Method:** Enterprise secure file transfer service
- **Encryption:** AES-256 required
- **Access:** Coordinate with procurement team

### Proposal Deadline

- **Date:** [Date]
- **Time:** [Time] [Time Zone]
- **Late Submissions:** Will not be accepted
- **Confirmation:** Auto-reply within 1 hour

## Questions & Clarifications

- **Submission Deadline:** [Date]
- **Format:** Excel template provided
- **Email:** [[procurement@company.com](mailto:procurement@company.com)]
- **Response Time:** Within 48 hours
- **Distribution:** All Q&As shared with all vendors

## Pre-Submission Checklist

- All sections completed
- References contacted and aware
- Pricing in specified format
- Technical requirements addressed
- Demos scheduled
- NDA signed and returned

## Confidentiality

All information provided in response to this RFP will be treated as confidential and proprietary. Vendors must sign the attached NDA before receiving additional technical documentation.

---

## Quick Reference Card

### Key Dates

- Questions Due: [Date]
- Proposals Due: [Date]
- Demos: [Date Range]
- POC: [Date Range]
- Decision: [Date]

### Scoring Weights

- Technical: 40%
- Commercial: 25%
- Support: 20%

- Strategic: 15%

## **Must-Have Features**

- Multi-agent orchestration
- Enterprise security
- API integration
- Explainable AI
- Edge capabilities

## **Success Metrics**

- ROI: 3-5x in 24 months
- Efficiency: 30%+ improvement
- Accuracy: >95% decisions
- Scale: 1000+ agents
- Uptime: 99.9%+

---

*This RFP template is proprietary and confidential. Version 2.0 includes enhanced evaluation criteria for emerging technologies including edge computing, quantum readiness, and sustainability considerations.*

### **Document Control:**

- Version: 2.0
- Last Updated: [Date]
- Next Review: [Quarterly]
- Owner: [Procurement Department]
- Industry Validation: Gartner, Forrester frameworks incorporated